

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,342	02/17/2004	Christopher J. Misorski	M09719	9955	
7590 06/03/2005			EXAMINER		
William D. Lanyi			OLSON, I	OLSON, LARS A	
Mercury Marin	ne				
W6250 Pioneer Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 1939			3617		
Fond du Lac, '	WI 54936-1939				

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>i</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/780,342	MISORSKI ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lars A. Olson	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe							
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no 							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· 	·					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment canceling					
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ill be entered and an explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8,18 and 23</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>33</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary							
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Takasaki et al. (US 6,312,821) discloses a marine propulsion device with an aluminum gear housing structure and a polymer layer that is chemically bonded to an outer surface of said gear housing structure. deBlois (US 5,718,014) discloses a metal gear housing structure with a polymer layer that is overmolded on said gear housing structure by means of injection molding. There is sufficient motivation to replace the chemically bonded polymer layer as disclosed in Takasaki et al. with the injection molded polymer layer as disclosed by deBlois in order to produce a metal gear housing structure for a marine propulsion device with a polymer layer that is overmolded to facilitate construction of a gear housing structure with a waterproof outer							
coating. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-15 is deemed proper and is not withdrawn.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
TO, L. L. CUIEL.							

Con	tinuation	Sheet	(PTOL	-303)

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 06012005

LARS A. OLSON

2